UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,638	08/23/2006	Dieter Ramsauer	135408-2038	2249
	7590 01/24/201 AWRENCE & HAUG	2	EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.		FULTON, KRISTINA ROSE	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3674	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/590,638	RAMSAUER, DIETER
Examiner	Art Unit
KRISTINA FULTON	3674

		KRISTINA FULTON	3674
The MAILING	G DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
	anuary 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-
1. A The reply was filed a application, applica application in condi	after a final rejection, but prior to or on nt must timely file one of the following r tion for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavied (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
<u> </u>	ply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection.	
b) The period for rep no event, howeve Examiner Note: If	oly expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ader, will the statutory period for reply expire late box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(for the status of t	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be o have been filed is the date fo under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calo set forth in (b) above, if chec	brained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of purposes of determining the period of extra sulated from: (1) the expiration date of the sked. Any reply received by the Office later and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of A	al was filed on A brief in compl Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
(a) They raise ne	endment(s) filed after a final rejection, bown issues that would require further core issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
	deemed to place the application in bett	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
	additional claims without canceling a c (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
4. 🔲 The amendments a	are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply h	as overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or non-allowable claim	r amended claim(s) would be all n(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or ame The status of the cla	peal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ended claims would be rejected is prov aim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: _ Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: _	o:		
	 from consideration:		
<u>AFFIDAVIT ÖR OTHER I</u>			
because applicant f	er evidence filed after a final action, but ailed to provide a showing of good and ented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entered because th	er evidence filed after the date of filing a e affidavit or other evidence failed to o d sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or oth REQUEST FOR RECON	ner evidence is entered. An explanatior <u>SIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
Applicant argues to obvious modification body is rectangulated a self locking effect The examiner agreement where the combinifinal does teach the	consideration has been considered but he shape of the device. Please note the on and further the claim can be read as ur. If the shape is significant, further funct is not claimed. Further applicant argues that Witzberger fails to show the clation then reads on the claims. Taken are claimed limitations Information Disclosure Statement(s). (at this is not a patentablely distinct the slides being rectangular in conctionality should be given. Applicates a "self blocking effect". Again, a laimed inclined angle and uses adralone, as argued, they do not but by	t feature as a change in shape is an orss-section and should clarify that the nt is arguing a "self-locking effect" but a self-blocking effect is not claimed. mitted prior art ot modify Witzberger
13. Other:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
	/KRISTINA R FULTON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3674
110 D : : 1 T 1 1 0 00	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20120120